	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/960,727	KAMBE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HOEY, BETSEY	1724
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Mr. Nick Bromer .	(3)	
(2) Mrs. Betsey Hoey.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 09 March 2004.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 2</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Katou et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was agreed to incorporate a mixed gas supply limitation into claims 1 and 2. A mixed gas is defined in the spec on page 5, and support for a mixed gas supply is given on page 14</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's (signature, if required